

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/639,050	08/11/2003		Sascha Zarins	412692000901	6741
27777	7590	12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON		= :	MARMOR II, CHARLES ALAN		
		HNSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW BRUI	NSWICK,	NJ 08933-7003	3736		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/639,050	ZARINS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles A. Marmor, II	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 48 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected or b) dobjected or b) dobjected or b) objected or b) objected or b) accepted in abeyance. So other is required if the drawing(s) is objected or b) objected or	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applica Drity documents have been receiv Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	**	

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Preliminary Amendment filed August 11, 2003. The Examiner acknowledges the amendment to the Specification, the cancellation of claims 1-47, and the addition of new claim 48. Claim 48 is pending.

Drawings

2. Figures 1A-1D apparently should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. In new paragraph [0000.1], line 2, the current status of the parent application should be provided.
- b. In paragraph [0006], the listed U.S. Patent Application Nos. apparently should be updated and replaced by the appropriate U.S. Patent Nos.
 - c. In paragraph [0056], line 12, "74" (second occurrence) apparently should be deleted.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/639,050 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 48 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5 and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,605,047. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the device of claim 48 of the present application is structurally equivalent to the device of claim 1 of the patent, but includes an additional limitation to require that the intermediate member extends the length of the marker seat. The intermediate member is equivalent to the flexible covering of claim 20 of the patent, which claim recites a similar limitation to said additional limitation of claim 1 of the present invention. Claim 1 of the present application is merely broader than claim 20 of the patent, which incorporates the limitations of claim 5 of the patent.

Art Unit: 3736

Since the claims of the patent "anticipate" the broader claim of the present application, the claims are not patentably distinct.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Marmor, II whose telephone number is (571) 272-4730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles A. Marmor, II Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

cam

November 29, 2004